

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/038,032	CANDELORE ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	HOSUK SONG	2135	

All Participants:

(1) H. SONG.

(2) _____

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 6 June 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Claims 1,19,31,69,84,90,101

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner informed the applicant that applicant's response/arguments filed on 3/29/06 is persuasive and overcomes the rejections. However, examiner raised 101 issue with claims 1,19,31,90. Specifically, the claims lack tangible result. Examiner indicated that claims needs to be amended to clearly distingusih how output is derived. Applicant will submit proposed amendment for examiner to review. .